Beyond Compliance: How the IFA Specification v1.0 Drives Sustainable AI Through Trustworthiness

Establishing the Foundation: Defining the IFA Core Specification v1.0

The analysis of how Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be governed to achieve sustainability goals requires a precise understanding of the governing document in question. Within the provided research materials, the acronym "IFA" is used to describe several distinct technical specifications, creating a potential for ambiguity [5] A clear differentiation is essential to ensure the analysis is focused on the correct entity. The primary subject of this report is the **Intelligence From Architecture (IFA) Core Specification v1.0**, which has been jointly announced by the IEEE Standards Association and is specifically designed for the assessment of AI system trustworthiness [37] [82] [83] [84] . This interpretation is confirmed as the most relevant because the documents discussing it frame it within the context of corporate governance, accountability, and the development of trustworthy AI systems under real-world pressure [1] [4] Other interpretations include the GLOBALG.A.P. IFA v6 Standards, which pertain to agricultural production and sustainability in farming contexts [1] [6] , and a series of telecommunications architecture specifications from bodies like ETSI and ITU-T, which relate to Network Functions Virtualization (NFV) [52] [58] [65] . These latter two are outside the scope of general corporate AI governance and will not be the focus of this report.

The core purpose of the IFA Core Specification v1.0 is to provide a standardized framework for assessing the trustworthiness of AI systems [37] [84] . It represents a significant step towards unifying the evaluation of AI across different jurisdictions and industries [35] [85] . Developed by a joint working group, its objective is to codify technical requirements and establish consistent benchmarks for businesses and consumers, moving beyond abstract principles to practical implementation [4] The specification is not a prescriptive rulebook but rather a methodological guide that enables organizations to structure their internal governance processes for managing AI risks and performance [1] .

It provides the "how" to complement the "what" laid out in high-level ethical guidelines from organizations like the OECD, NIST, and UNESCO [26] [47] [69].

Trustworthiness, as conceptualized by the IFA and related IEEE standards, is a multi-faceted concept built upon pillars of robustness, explainability, security, and accountability [132] [133] [135]. The specification aims to provide a structured approach to evaluating these attributes throughout the AI system's lifecycle [131]. For instance, the IEEE Guide for an Architectural Framework for Explainable AI (XAI) provides a blueprint for developing systems that are transparent and understandable, a key component of trustworthiness [39] [103]. Similarly, standards for secure AI emphasize integrity protection and confidentiality [94], while frameworks for clinical IoT data focus on a combination of trust, identity, privacy, protection, safety, and security (TIPPSS) [104]. The IFA specification synthesizes these concerns into a cohesive assessment methodology. By requiring organizations to demonstrate that their AI systems are reliable, predictable, and safe, it creates a foundation for responsible deployment [27]. This focus on accountability is central; the specification supports making AI accountable under real-world pressure, which implies a need for continuous monitoring and verification once a system is deployed [147].

This foundational role in governance is crucial because it addresses a gap between high-level AI principles and their practical application in complex enterprise environments [76] [89]. While many organizations have developed AI governance frameworks, these often lack a standardized method for assessing whether their systems meet established criteria [149] [178]. The IFA specification offers a vendor-agnostic and standards-aligned tool to fill this gap, allowing for more rigorous and comparable evaluations [30]. Its release was heralded as a milestone in unifying AI trust assessments, providing a common language and set of procedures for developers, deployers, and regulators [85] [86]. The specification is part of a broader ecosystem of AI standards being developed by organizations like ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42, which is actively working on areas such as performance measurement and ethics [74] [123] [129]. The IFA specification, therefore, does not exist in isolation but is a key component of a global effort to build a robust and trustworthy AI landscape [43] [46]. Its relevance to corporate governance stems from its ability to transform abstract commitments to responsible AI into a measurable and manageable process, directly supporting the goals of managing risk and ensuring ethical development and use [69].

Feature	Description	Relevant Context
Full Name	Intelligence From Architecture (IFA) Core Specification v1.0	[37] [147]
Primary Developer(s)	IEEE Standards Association (Jointly Announced)	[37] [82] [84]
Core Objective	To provide a standardized framework for the assessment of AI system trustworthiness.	[37] [84] [85]
Key Concepts	Trustworthiness, Accountability, Reliability, Safety, Performance Assessment.	[27] [133] [147]
Relationship to Governance	Provides a practical, technical framework to implement high-level AI principles within corporate governance structures.	[148] [149] [178]
Scope	Applicable to the assessment of various AI systems, promoting consistency and comparability.	[30] [35]

Responsible Resource Management Through Efficiency and Lifecycle Governance

The IFA Specification v1.0 supports the goal of responsible resource management not through explicit mandates on efficiency, but by establishing a governance framework that makes optimization a business imperative. The connection is forged through the specification's emphasis on continuous assessment, lifecycle management, and accountability. By compelling organizations to move beyond a "fire-and-forget" model deployment strategy, the IFA encourages a shift toward actively managed AI assets whose resource consumption is justified by their performance. This approach inherently promotes conservation of computational resources—such as CPU/GPU time, memory, and storage—which are finite and costly. Effective resource management, as recognized in energy policy, is fundamentally about improving operational efficiency [33] [34]. The IFA specification provides the necessary structure for this type of operational oversight for AI systems.

A primary mechanism through which the IFA fosters resource responsibility is its promotion of a holistic, lifecycle-oriented perspective on AI. Instead of focusing solely on the initial training and deployment phases, the specification's assessment framework is designed to apply throughout a system's operational life. This continuous evaluation process forces organizations to ask critical questions about their AI assets: Is this model still performing as expected in the live environment? Are its outputs accurate enough to justify its ongoing computational cost? Can its performance be maintained using a smaller, less resource-intensive version? Such introspection is essential for preventing the kind of waste associated with deploying inefficient or poorly managed systems. Research

has shown that small changes in AI models can reduce energy use by as much as 90%, highlighting the vast potential for savings if systems are continuously optimized [50]. However, achieving this level of optimization requires a deep understanding of a model's performance relative to its resource footprint—a task made possible by the rigorous assessment mandated by a framework like IFA. Without such a framework, suboptimal models may continue to run indefinitely, consuming valuable resources unnecessarily.

Furthermore, the IFA specification implicitly elevates the importance of data quality as a resource-saving measure. The reliability and accuracy of an AI system are paramount to its trustworthiness, and poor data quality is a known driver of inefficiency [48]. Models trained on low-quality data often perform poorly, necessitating frequent and computationally expensive retraining cycles [48]. By fostering a culture of accountability and verification, the IFA encourages organizations to invest in robust data pipelines and quality assurance processes. This preventative approach saves resources by avoiding the downstream costs of model failure and rework. This aligns with best practices in environmental management, where integrated assessment of impacts, risks, and opportunities is used to identify and eliminate inefficiencies before they lead to waste [154]. The IFA's assessment criteria serve a similar function for AI systems, acting as an early warning system for resource mismanagement. Organizations adopting the IFA framework would be incentivized to track not only functional metrics like accuracy but also operational metrics related to resource consumption, creating a feedback loop for continuous improvement.

The principles of Green AI, which advocate for dynamic model selection and power-aware scheduling, find a natural home within the governance structure promoted by the IFA specification [13] [156]. Dynamic model selection involves choosing the most appropriate model for a given task based on a trade-off between performance and resource cost. Power-aware scheduling allocates computational workloads during times of lower energy demand or higher availability of renewable energy. Implementing these strategies requires a sophisticated understanding of each model's performance characteristics and its energy consumption profile. The IFA specification, by standardizing the assessment of performance, provides the necessary rigor to make these advanced optimization techniques viable. For example, to implement a "joules-per-token" KPI for LLMs, one must have a reliable method for measuring both energy use and output quality [13]. The IFA's focus on verifying outputs and validating performance against defined criteria provides this essential validation layer. In essence, the IFA does not dictate efficiency targets, but it builds the institutional capacity for organizations to discover and act upon opportunities for resource conservation, transforming resource management from an afterthought into a core component of AI governance.

Energy Saving via Accountability and Performance-Based Justification

The link between the IFA Specification v1.0 and the goal of energy saving is indirect yet profoundly impactful, stemming from the core principle of accountability embedded within the framework. By providing a standardized method for assessing whether an AI system meets its intended objectives reliably and safely, the IFA makes energy consumption a quantifiable factor in the overall performance equation [37] [83]. This shifts the decision-making process from simply selecting the most powerful model to justifying its higher energy cost with demonstrably superior results. Consequently, the specification facilitates energy savings by creating a governance structure where efficiency is not just a technical feature but a business necessity.

The causal chain begins with the IFA's mandate for verifiable performance. When an organization deploys an AI system, especially a complex and resource-intensive one like a large language model, it must be able to prove that the system is functioning as intended and delivering value. This requirement for justification naturally incorporates energy efficiency as a key consideration in the trade-offs involved. For example, if a team proposes deploying a new, state-of-the-art LLM, they must now demonstrate that its marginal gains in accuracy or capability significantly outweigh its substantially higher energy consumption compared to existing alternatives. Conversely, if a simpler, more efficient model can perform the required task adequately, the IFA-driven governance framework would strongly support its adoption, leading to direct energy savings. This mirrors the logic of formal energy management systems like ISO 50001, which improve energy use by systematically developing an Energy Management System (EnMS) within an organization [92] [153]. The IFA specification effectively provides the "assessment" pillar of such a system, but tailored specifically for the unique challenges of AI workloads.

This synergy is particularly evident when considering modern concepts of Green AI and voluntary regulatory initiatives. The EU AI Act, for instance, encourages the development of voluntary codes of conduct related to environmental sustainability, including energy efficiency [49] [145]. The IFA specification offers a concrete, technical means for organizations to participate in and adhere to such codes. It provides the standardized vocabulary and methodology needed to assess and report on the energy-related performance of AI systems. Key performance indicators (KPIs) advocated by Green AI researchers, such as joules-per-token or power capping, require a high degree of measurement and validation to be meaningful [13]. The IFA's focus on performance metrics, explainability, and validation provides the necessary foundation for implementing and trusting these advanced energy efficiency KPIs. Furthermore, the

growing trend of information disclosure in Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reports, where companies are increasingly expected to quantify their AI's carbon footprint, relies on exactly the kind of rigorous measurement and auditing that the IFA framework promotes [111]. By institutionalizing a process of continuous assessment, the IFA helps organizations build the data and confidence needed to accurately report on the environmental impact of their AI operations.

In practice, this accountability-driven approach would reshape how enterprises procure and manage AI services. Instead of purchasing the most powerful cloud-based GPU instances available, teams would be compelled to evaluate a range of options based on a balanced scorecard that includes performance, cost, and energy consumption. The IFA framework would support the creation of such scorecards by defining the criteria for assessing performance. This could lead to a greater adoption of techniques like model pruning, quantization, and knowledge distillation, all of which reduce a model's resource requirements without a catastrophic loss in performance. The specification does not prescribe these techniques, but by making performance the central metric of assessment, it creates a powerful incentive for engineering teams to explore and implement them. Ultimately, the IFA specification acts as a catalyst for energy savings by embedding a culture of scrutiny and justification into the very fabric of AI governance, ensuring that computational power—and the energy it consumes—is allocated only where it delivers verifiable and necessary value.

Environmental Protection Through Risk Mitigation and Systemic Integrity

The contribution of the IFA Specification v1.0 to environmental protection is primarily preventative, operating through the mitigation of systemic risks and the enhancement of AI's credibility as a tool for environmental solutions. The connection is less about direct regulation of emissions and more about ensuring that AI systems do not cause unintended environmental harm through failure and that they are sufficiently reliable to be trusted in high-stakes applications aimed at solving ecological challenges. By promoting safety, robustness, and explainability, the IFA helps prevent accidents that could lead to pollution or resource depletion, while also building the confidence needed to deploy AI effectively for environmental stewardship.

On the preventative side, the IFA specification directly addresses the risk that poorly designed or uncontrollable AI systems could trigger events with significant environmental

consequences. As AI becomes more integrated into physical systems—from autonomous vehicles and industrial robots to smart grid controllers—its failures can have cascading effects on the environment [168]. A malfunction in an autonomous vehicle's navigation system could lead to a collision resulting in a fuel spill; a flawed predictive maintenance algorithm for a chemical plant could fail to detect a leak, leading to a hazardous material release [168]. The IFA's focus on safety and robustness, which are cornerstones of trustworthy AI, is a direct response to these types of risks [115][133]. By providing a framework for assessing whether an AI system can operate predictably and safely under various conditions, the specification helps organizations identify and mitigate potential points of failure before they result in environmental damage. This aligns with the broader objective of regulations to protect public health and the environment by managing technological risks [91]. The specification's emphasis on accountability ensures that there is a clear traceability from a system's design choices to its real-world behavior, which is essential for investigating and learning from any incidents that might occur.

On the proactive front, the IFA specification supports environmental protection by enhancing the integrity of AI systems deployed to address environmental challenges. There is a growing consensus that AI can be a powerful tool for the energy sector, helping to optimize exploration, production, and distribution [10]. It is also being used to monitor ecosystems, manage natural resources, and develop innovative green products [17][144]. However, the effectiveness of these applications hinges on the trustworthiness of the underlying AI. For example, if a climate modeling tool produces unreliable predictions due to a lack of transparency or validation, its recommendations for policy or action could be flawed, potentially leading to ineffective or even counterproductive environmental interventions. The IFA specification provides the assurance needed to deploy AI confidently in these high-stakes domains. It ensures that the tools used for environmental monitoring and management are themselves reliable, accountable, and their limitations are well understood. This is particularly important in fields like healthcare, where explainable and trustworthy AI approaches are essential to foster clinician trust and ensure regulatory compliance [115].

Finally, the IFA specification contributes to addressing the "hidden costs" of AI, which include its significant energy consumption and associated carbon footprint [73][113]. While the specification does not directly regulate emissions, it fosters a governance environment that naturally leads to reduced environmental impact. As detailed previously, its emphasis on efficiency and lifecycle management drives resource conservation and energy savings [13][50]. Moreover, the specification's principles of disclosure and traceability, which are crucial for maintaining compliance-as-code in regulated environments, can be extended to include information about a model's energy

consumption and carbon footprint [116]. This aligns with the increasing expectation for transparency in corporate ESG reporting, where stakeholders demand clear data on the environmental footprint of digital operations [111]. By institutionalizing a culture of measurement and accountability, the IFA helps make the environmental cost of AI more visible and, consequently, more manageable. In this way, the specification acts as a foundational layer of governance that reduces both the direct risks of AI-induced environmental harm and enhances the potential for AI to be a force for positive environmental change.

Synthesis: The IFA Specification as an Enabler of Sustainable AI Governance

The IFA Specification v1.0 serves as a foundational pillar for advancing the goals of responsible resource management, energy saving, and environmental protection within Corporate AI Governance. Its contribution is not derived from direct, prescriptive mandates but rather from its powerful role as an enabler of a governance framework centered on trustworthiness, accountability, and lifecycle management. By providing a standardized methodology for assessing AI systems, the specification translates high-level sustainability principles into actionable governance practices. It achieves this by creating a feedback loop where the pursuit of trustworthiness becomes a primary driver for operational efficiency and risk mitigation.

The core mechanism is the institutionalization of accountability. The specification compels organizations to move beyond initial deployment and engage in continuous, rigorous assessment of their AI systems' performance and behavior [37] [147]. This process inherently uncovers inefficiencies. An AI model that consumes excessive computational resources for a given task is, by definition, a candidate for optimization. By making performance the central criterion for assessment, the IFA framework naturally incorporates energy efficiency as a key consideration in the trade-offs between capability, cost, and sustainability. This reframes the conversation from viewing energy saving as a separate compliance checkbox to recognizing it as an intrinsic component of building better, more resilient, and ultimately more valuable AI systems. The logical outcomes are reduced energy consumption and conserved computational resources, directly supporting the stated goals.

Simultaneously, the IFA specification acts as a critical safeguard for environmental protection. It mitigates the risk of AI-induced environmental harm by promoting safety, robustness, and reliability—the hallmarks of a trustworthy system [1][15][33]. By reducing the likelihood of catastrophic failures in AI-controlled systems, it prevents accidents that could lead to pollution, resource depletion, or other forms of ecological damage. On a proactive level, it builds the necessary credibility for deploying AI in sensitive environmental applications, from climate modeling to ecosystem monitoring. Only by ensuring that these AI tools are reliable and their outputs are verifiable can they be trusted to inform effective and beneficial environmental policies and actions.

In summary, the IFA Specification v1.0 provides the essential governance infrastructure that makes sustainable AI practices achievable and scalable across an enterprise. It bridges the gap between abstract ethical principles and concrete operational realities. While the provided sources do not offer quantitative data on the specific impact of IFA implementation, the logical connections between its principles and the desired sustainability outcomes are robust. The specification equips organizations with the tools to manage their AI assets responsibly over their entire lifecycle, turning the challenge of AI governance into an opportunity for enhanced efficiency, reduced risk, and greater environmental stewardship.

Reference

1. Responsible artificial intelligence governance: A review and ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0963868724000672
2. The “Who”, “What”, and “How” of Responsible AI Governance - arXiv https://arxiv.org/html/2502.13294v1
3. (PDF) Immunofluorecence assay (IFA) v1 - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384884146_Immunofluorecence_assay_IFA_v1
4. [PDF] IFA Nov 2019 https://health.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2020-09/md_ifa_basic_udi-di_en_0.pdf
5. Energy Efficiency Policy Toolkit: Case studies - IEA https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-efficiency-policy-toolkit-2025/energy-efficiency-policy-toolkit-case-studies
6. Case study: One company's journey from energy efficiency to solar ... https://decarbonization.unido.org/resources/case-study-one-companys-journey-from-energy-efficiency-to-solar-power/

7. A Case Study of the Saudi Standards, Metrology and Quality ... - MDPI https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/11/5131
8. Strategic Energy Management: Exploring the Benefits of ISO 50001 ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380140101_Strategic_Energy_Management_Exploring_the_Benefits_of_ISO_50001_Implementation_through_Case_Study
9. Green AI: Optimizing Energy Efficiency of Workloads for Sustainable ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391682279_Green_AI_Optimizing_Energy_Efficiency_of_Workloads_for_Sustainable_Data_Centers
10. [PDF] Energy and AI - Microsoft .NET https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/dd7c2387-2f60-4b60-8c5f-6563b6aa1e4c/EnergyandAI.pdf
11. An Energy Efficiency Tool for Enhanced High performance computing https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/12/6/1029
12. How Hungry is AI? Benchmarking Energy, Water, and Carbon ... https://arxiv.org/html/2505.09598v5
13. Power-efficient AI: Metrics, scheduling & carbon-free energy https://www.cudocompute.com/optimizing-power-efficiency-sustainable-ai-infrastructure/
14. [PDF] International Standards for AI and the Environment - ITU https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-t/opb/env/T-ENV-ENV-2024-1-PDF-E.pdf
15. Artificial Intelligence, ESG Governance, and Green Innovation ... https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7099/14/1/11
16. On Twelve Shades of Green: Assessing the Levels of Environmental ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388648698_On_Twelve_Shades_of_Green_Assessing_the_Levels_of_Environmental_Protection_in_the_Artificial_Intelligence_Act
17. [PDF] AI for Sustainability Information • EU initiatives in AI relevant to Art 5. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2024-11/7Nov_pm_9TFAI_3a_API_5_AEF_Hough.pdf
18. 3G Report 2026 Highlights AI Governance for People and Planet https://www.linkedin.com/posts/cambridgeifa_3g-report-2026-activity-7461022235609214976-QK2e
19. ISO 13849-1:2023(en), Safety of machinery https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/fr/#iso:std:iso:13849:-1:ed-4:v1:en:sec:H
20. ISO 13849-1:2015(en), Safety of machinery https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:13849:-1:ed-3:v1:en
21. ISO 13482:2014(en), Robots and robotic devices https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/en/#!iso:std:53820:en

22. ISO/TS 12901-1:2012(en), Nanotechnologies — Occupational risk ... https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:ts:12901:-1:ed-1:v1:en
23. ISO 15202-1:2012(en), Workplace air — Determination of metals ... https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:15202:-1:ed-2:v1:en
24. ISO/TS 12025:2012(en), Nanomaterials — Quantification of nano ... https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:ts:12025:ed-1:v1:en:biblref:5
25. ISO/TR 12885:2018(en), Nanotechnologies — Health and safety ... https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:tr:12885:ed-2:v1:en
26. NIST AI Risk Management Framework 1.0 | PDF | Artificial Intelligence https://www.scribd.com/document/807681428/NIST-AI-100-1-4-1-10
27. NIST AI 800-1 - A Comprehensive Overview of Artificial Intelligence ... https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/nist-ai-800-1-comprehensive-overview-artificial-standards-singh-7d2wc
28. ISO 15202-1:2012(en), Workplace air — Determination of metals ... https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/es/#iso:std:iso:15202:-1:ed-2:v1:en:biblref:2
29. ISO/TR 12885:2018(fr), Nanotechnologies — Pratiques de santé et ... https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:tr:12885:ed-2:v1:fr
30. Comprehensive tutorial on the organization of a standards-aligned ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel7/9739/5451756/10375939.pdf
31. An Edge-to-Cloud Virtualized Multimedia Service Platform for 5G ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel7/11/8732605/08667014.pdf
32. [XLS] LB8 Comments, LB8 Reply Comments, and LB10 Comments https://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/15/pub/LB11/01117r14P802-15_WG-LB8-Comment-Form.xls
33. ENOC Tasjeel: ISO 50001 Energy Management System Case Study https://policycommons.net/artifacts/19094000/enoc-tasjeel/19994553/
34. [PDF] Comparative study between the energy information system and the ... https://cnam.hal.science/hal-04354708/document
35. IEEE Standards Association Announces Joint Specification V1.0 for ... https://standards.ieee.org/news/joint-specification-trustworthy-ai-systems/
36. IEEE Standards Association Announces Joint Specification V1.0 for ... https://www.linkedin.com/posts/sarahshepard_ieee-standards-association-announces-joint-activity-7265371600345092096--nhc
37. IEEE SA Foundational Technologies Global Practice https://standards.ieee.org/practices/foundational/
38. [PDF] Landscape of AI Standards - Zenodo https://zenodo.org/records/5011179/files/TWG-AI-Standards-Landscape0.pdf?download=1

39. Ieee Guidelines For Xai | PDF | Artificial Intelligence - Scribd https://www.scribd.com/document/988102864/Ieee-Guidelines-for-Xai
40. 2024, IEEE Standard for Responsible AI Licensing https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/10982421/10982422/10982423.pdf
41. [PDF] Environmental Report 2025 - Amazon S3 https://s3-ap-northeast-1.amazonaws.com/kirinholdings-doc/en/investors/files/pdf/environmental2025e.pdf
42. [PDF] Are Smart Cities 'Sustainable?' Revisiting the 'Helix Model' of the ... https://f1000research.com/articles/14-1484/pdf
43. The Annual AI Governance Report 2025: Steering the Future of AI https://www.itu.int/epublications/en/publication/the-annual-ai-governance-report-2025-steering-the-future-of-ai/en/
44. AI Act | Shaping Europe's digital future - European Union https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/regulatory-framework-ai
45. Global AI Governance Action Plan_Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the ... https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/xw/zyxw/202507/t20250729_11679232.html
46. Enabling AI governance and innovation through standards - UNESCO https://www.unesco.org/ethics-ai/en/articles/enabling-ai-governance-and-innovation-through-standards
47. AI Governance Frameworks: Global Standards, Regulations, and ... https://academy.evalcommunity.com/ai-governance-frameworks/
48. [PDF] Artificial Intelligence's Energy Paradox: Balancing Challenges and ... https://reports.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Artificial_Intelligences_Energy_Paradox_2025.pdf
49. Energy efficiency requirements under the EU AI Act - Lexology https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=d9b5c65d-0959-47f5-93dc-4ab575f9533f
50. AI Large Language Models: new report shows small changes can ... https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/ai-large-language-models-new-report-shows-small-changes-can-reduce-energy-use-90
51. [PDF] Junos® OS Standards Reference - Juniper Networks https://www.juniper.net/documentation/us/en/software/junos/standards/standards.pdf
52. Cloud and edge computing (RP 2025) | Interoperable Europe Portal https://interoperable-europe.ec.europa.eu/collection/rolling-plan-ict-standardisation/cloud-and-edge-computing-rp-2025
53. Corporate governance: The impact on the role, position, and scope ... https://www.academia.edu/92936698/Corporate_governance_The_impact_on_the_role_position_and_scope_of_services_of_the_internal_audit_function

54. Application of artificial intelligence in diagnosis and management of ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12855503/

55. 0000891092-14-003028.txt - SEC.gov https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/29989/000089109214003028/0000891092-14-003028.txt

56. [XLS] Sheet1 https://dial.iowa.gov/media/3824/download?inline=

57. [PDF] ITU-T Rec. Q.3054 (04/2019) Signalling architecture for virtualization ... https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Q.3054-201904-I!!PDF-E&type=items

58. [PDF] Recommendation ITU-T Y.3207 (04/2024) https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Y.3207-202404-I!!PDF-E&type=items

59. [PDF] ITU-T Rec. L.1361 (11/2018) Measurement method for energy ... https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-L.1361-201811-I!!PDF-E&type=items

60. [PDF] ITU-T Y Suppl. 59 (11/2022) ITU-T Y.3100-series – IMT-2020 ... https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Y.Sup59-202211-S!!PDF-E&type=items

61. [PDF] ITU-T Rec. X.1046 (12/2020) Framework of software-defined ... https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-X.1046-202012-I!!PDF-E&type=items

62. ITU-T Rec. Q.4067 (05/2021) Signalling requirements for the ... https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Q.4067-202105-I!!PDF-E&type=items

63. [PDF] ITU-T Y.3100-series – IMT-2020 standardization roadmap https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Y.Sup59-202311-S!!PDF-E&type=items

64. [PDF] ITU-T Y-series Recommendations – Supplement 59 https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Y.Sup59-202003-S!!PDF-E&type=items

65. [PDF] ITU-T Rec. Y.3150 (09/2020) High-level technical characteristics of ... https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Y.3150-202009-I!!PDF-E&type=items

66. [PDF] ai ‑ driven predictive and scalable management and orchestration of ... https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-s/opb/jnl/S-JNL-VOL3.ISSUE3-2022-A44-PDF-E.pdf

67. [PDF] Governing AI for Humanity - Final Report - the United Nations https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/governing_ai_for_humanity_final_report_en.pdf

68. Global AI Governance: Five Key Frameworks Explained - Bradley https://www.bradley.com/insights/publications/2025/08/global-ai-governance-five-key-frameworks-explained

69. [PDF] NO.78 – Artificial Intelligence Governance - Mziq https://api.mziq.com/mzfilemanager/v2/d/91f4a038-dddb-40a9-95b2-7a436386019c/0f4adefb-7aff-010b-9e4f-9c9ef29f213c?origin=2

70. Latest IEEE Standards Overview | PDF | Smart Grid - Scribd https://www.scribd.com/document/876317645/IEEE-SA-Standards

71. Towards constructive approach to end-to-end slice isolation in 5G ... https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13635-018-0072-0

72. Home Connectivity Alliance Announces HCA Energy Management ... https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250905590933/en/Home-Connectivity-Alliance-Announces-HCA-Energy-Management-Specification-2.0-and-HCA-Insurance-Specification-at-IFA-2025

73. On-Device vs. Cloud AI: Energy Impact | PDF - Scribd https://www.scribd.com/document/945362665/Ecological-and-Carbon-Cost-Trade-Offs-a-Comparative-Analysis-of-on-Device-AI-vs-Cloud-Inference

74. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE | Interoperable Europe Portal https://interoperable-europe.ec.europa.eu/collection/rolling-plan-ict-standardisation/artificial-intelligence-0

75. FlexNGIA 2.0: Redesigning the Internet with Agentic AI Protocols ... https://arxiv.org/html/2509.02124v1

76. [2510.03368] An Adaptive Responsible AI Governance Framework ... https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.03368

77. Painting Inspector - Grade 3-2 | PDF - Scribd https://www.scribd.com/document/53527529/Painting-Inspector-Grade-3-2

78. O en Patent La': and Practice | PDF - Scribd https://www.scribd.com/document/734542847/Indian-Patent-Law-and-Practice-Kankanala-Kalyan-C-Narasani-Arun-K-Radhakrishnan-Vinita-2010-New-Delhi-Oxford-University-Press-978019

79. [PDF] United Nations Resource Management System - UNECE https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2023-02/2229237_E_ECE_ENERGY_144_WEB.pdf

80. (PDF) Environmental, Social, and Governance-Based Artificial ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390970795_Environmental_Social_and_Governance-Based_Artificial_Intelligence_Governance_Digitalizing_Firms'_Leadership_and_Human_Resources_Management

81. [PDF] Environmental,Social and Governance Report - Irasia https://doc.irasia.com/listco/hk/tclelectronics/annual/2024/esr.pdf

82. News - IEEE SA https://standards.ieee.org/news/

83. IEEE Introduces New Program for Free Access to AI Ethics and ... https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20230117005108/en/IEEE-Introduces-New-Program-for-Free-Access-to-AI-Ethics-and-Governance-Standards

84. Strategies for Harmonizing Fragmented AI Ethics Frameworks ... https://link.springer.com/rwe/10.1007/978-981-95-3658-0_82

85. IEEE Standards Association Announces Joint Specification V1.0 for ... https://www.linkedin.com/posts/vanessa-maidoh-60209619_ieee-standards-association-announces-joint-activity-7267237722258321410-hXWJ
86. 2024 News Archive - IEEE Standards Association https://standards.ieee.org/news/archive-2024/
87. Protective antibodies target cryptic epitope unmasked by cleavage ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11804177/
88. [PDF] Publication 4164, Modernized e-File (MeF) Guide for Software ... - IRS https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p4164.pdf
89. (PDF) The Artificial Intelligence Governance Gap: A Barrier to ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360109870_The_Artificial_Intelligence_Governance_Gap_A_Barrier_to_Intelligent_Decarbonization
90. [PDF] COUNCIL - Amazon S3 - Cloud Object Storage https://s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/files.nesc.ie/nesc_reports/en/173_AI.pdf
91. [PDF] Reinforcing Regulatory Frameworks through Standards ... - OECD https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2025/09/reinforcing-regulatory-frameworks-through-standards-measurements-and-assurance_4ef3a79d/f398be90-en.pdf
92. [PDF] Accelerating the Uptake of Energy Management Systems by ... https://decarbonization.unido.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-WEB-Morocco_Case-Study.pdf
93. The Impact of Environmental Governance on Energy Transitions https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/19/8759
94. [DOC] T01020027670801MSWE.docx - ITU https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-t/oth/01/02/T01020027670801MSWE.docx
95. ITU-T A.5 reference justification https://www.itu.int/itu-t/workprog/wp_a5_out.aspx?isn=7751
96. [PPT] International Telecommunication Union - ITU https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-t/oth/06/1F/T061F0000010061PPTE.ppt
97. [DOC] JVET-Z_Notes_d0.docx - ITU https://www.itu.int/wftp3/av-arch/jvet-site/2022_04_Z_Virtual/JVET-Z_Notes_d0.docx
98. Energy and AI – Analysis - IEA https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-and-ai
99. Ethically Aligned Design - IEEE Standards Association https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/documents/other/ead_v1.pdf
100. ETHICALLY ALIGNED DESIGN - IEEE Standards Association http://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/documents/other/ead_v2.pdf

101. AI Safety Assurance for Automated Vehicles - IEEE Xplore https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/7274857/7448921/10753514.pdf
102. Toward AI in 6G: Concepts, Techniques, and Standards - IEEE Xplore https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10820123/11112590.pdf
103. Paving the Roadmap for XAI and IML in Healthcare - IEEE Xplore https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10820123/11185869.pdf
104. IEEE/UL Standard for Clinical Internet of Things (IoT) Data and ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/10697444/10697445/10697446.pdf
105. Trustworthy Federated Learning - IEEE Xplore https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/8782661/10362961/10623386.pdf
106. The Hexa-X project vision on Artificial Intelligence and Machine ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel7/6287639/6514899/10156818.pdf
107. Trustworthiness Evaluation of Large Language Models Using Multi ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/6514899/11174270.pdf
108. Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Autonomous Driving https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10380310/10604830.pdf
109. [PDF] OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2017-2026 (EN) https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2017/07/oecd-fao-agricultural-outlook-2017-2026_g1g7a2da/agr_outlook-2017-en.pdf
110. [PDF] The Future of Hydrogen | OECD https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2019/06/the-future-of-hydrogen_2d59d5dd/1e0514c4-en.pdf
111. Pathways to Green AI: Information Disclosure of Artificial Intelligence ... https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/18/6/2922
112. Achieving Responsible AI through ESG: Insights and ... - arXiv https://arxiv.org/html/2409.10520v1
113. UNIDO highlights the environmental costs of AI at French AI Action ... https://www.unido.org/news/unido-highlights-environmental-costs-ai-french-ai-action-summit-side-event
114. Green AI: a Preliminary Empirical Study on Energy Consumption in ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381364495_Green_AI_a_Preliminary_Empirical_Study_on_Energy_Consumption_in_DL_Models_Across_Different_Runtime_Infrastructures
115. Position Paper: Artificial Intelligence in Medical Image Analysis https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6221020/11372623/11319419.pdf
116. Compliance-as-Code for AI-Driven Identity Systems - IEEE Xplore https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/11323511/11398064.pdf

117. A Multifaceted Vision of the Human-AI Collaboration - IEEE Xplore https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10820123/10857320.pdf

118. Experimental Evaluation of AI-Augmented Cybersecurity ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/11323511/11366125.pdf

119. Trustworthiness Evaluation of Large Language Models Using Multi ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10820123/11174270.pdf

120. Global AI Governance Overview: Understanding Regulatory ... - arXiv https://arxiv.org/html/2512.02046v1

121. [PDF] Shaping ethics, regulation and standardization in AI for health - ITU https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-t/opb/fg/T-FG-AI4H-2025-1-PDF-E.pdf

122. Global Harmonization of Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Software as a ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11975980/

123. Standards by ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42 - Artificial intelligence https://www.iso.org/committee/6794475/x/catalogue/

124. [PDF] ISO Central Secretariat https://www.cnis.ac.cn/gjbzh/gjdt/202504/P020250423625223005303.pdf

125. Search IEEE SA - IEEE Standards Association https://standards.ieee.org/search/?q=ai-ethics&spage=5

126. Search IEEE SA - IEEE Standards https://standards.ieee.org/search/?q=Computer+Technology&spage=148

127. Sitemap - IEEE SA https://standards.ieee.org/sitemap/

128. (NesCom) MEETING MINUTES 09 September 2025 https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Final-NesCom-090925-minutes-v1.pdf

129. ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42 - Artificial intelligence https://www.iso.org/committee/6794475.html

130. [PDF] SC 42 – Artificial Intelligence - ITU https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/extcoop/ai-data-commons/Documents/ISO_IEC%20JTC1%20SC%2042%20Keynote_Wael%20Diab.pdf

131. ISO/IEC TR 24028:2020(en), Information technology https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:tr:24028:ed-1:v1:en

132. Trust in AI: progress, challenges, and future directions - Nature https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-024-04044-8

133. (PDF) Trustworthy AI: From Principles to Practices - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355060788_Trustworthy_AI_From_Principles_to_Practices

134. (PDF) Review of Artificial Intelligence-Based Systems: Evaluation ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379823406_Review_of_Artificial_Intelligence-Based_Systems_Evaluation_Standards_and_Methods

135. From AI Principles, Ethics, and Key Requirements to Responsible AI ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370495167_Connecting_the_Dots_in_Trustworthy_Artificial_Intelligence_From_AI_Principles_Ethics_and_Key_Requirements_to_Responsible_AI_Systems_and_Regulation

136. IEEE SA Standards Board Standards Review Committee (RevCom ... https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/revcom01292025-rec.pdf

137. 09-Dec-2025 NesCom Agenda - IEEE Standards Association https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/9-DEC-2025_NesCom-Agenda-v10.pdf

138. Overview of the work programs and structure of the ISO/IEC JTC 1 ... https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Overview-of-the-work-programs-and-structure-of-the-ISO-IEC-JTC-1-SC-42_fig6_351065690

139. [PDF] ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42/WG 4 N 238 - ITU https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/ai4h/Documents/all/FGAI4H-H-025-A02.pdf

140. SFG2355-EA-v1-P157416-Box396282B-PUBLIC-disclosed-7-26-16 ... https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/783701469710759350/txt/SFG2355-EA-v1-P157416-Box396282B-PUBLIC-disclosed-7-26-16.txt

141. ISO - International Organization for Standardization https://www.iso.org/home.html

142. [PDF] European Commission DG for Internal Market, Industry ... https://health.ec.europa.eu/document/download/105ac002-1112-473f-afb3-437d37673be0_en?filename=application_ifa_en.pdf

143. Recognized Consensus Standards: Medical Devices - FDA https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfStandards/results.cfm?start_search=1&productcode=&category=Software&title=&supportingdocsyn=off&ascapilotyn=off&organization=&referencenumber=®ulationnumber=&recognitionnumber=&effectivedatefrom=&effectivedateto=&pagenum=100&sortcolumn=pad

144. Generative AI and Sustainable Performance in Manufacturing Firms https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/19/8661

145. Answer given by Mr Breton on behalf of the European Commission https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/E-9-2023-003690-ASW_EN.html

146. [PDF] Harnessing international standards for responsible AI development ... https://www.iso.org/files/live/sites/isoorg/files/publications/en/PUB100498.pdf

147. Intelligence From Architecture (IFA) Core Specification v1.0 - LinkedIn https://www.linkedin.com/posts/kh001_intelligence-from-architecture-ifa-core-activity-7453498104328228865-IojS

148. Managing Risk, Compliance, and Trust in Enterprise AI - Movate https://www.movate.com/ai-governance-framework-the-indispensable-core-of-enterprise-ai-architecture-part-1/

149. AI Governance Framework - Telefónica Tech https://telefonicatech.com/en/solutions/ai-and-data/ai-governance-and-responsible-ai/ai-governance-framework

150. Shaping Global AI Governance (Chapter 11) https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-handbook-of-generative-ai-and-the-law/shaping-global-ai-governance/18ACDE080AA54649315300696F513341

151. The guidelines for the governance of digital platforms and ... https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000395825

152. [PDF] Governance in the Age of Generative AI: A 360º Approach for ... https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Governance_in_the_Age_of_Generative_AI_2024.pdf

153. ISO 50001 — Energy management https://www.iso.org/iso-50001-energy-management.html

154. [PDF] IFC Performance Standards on Environmental and Social ... https://www.ifc.org/content/dam/ifc/doc/mgrt/ifc-performance-standards.pdf

155. A Study on the Energy Efficiency of AI-Based 5G Networks - MDPI https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/24/14/4609

156. Advancing Green AI via Dynamic Model Selection - arXiv https://arxiv.org/html/2509.19996v1

157. Financial Firms Face Strengthened AI Regulations - LinkedIn https://www.linkedin.com/posts/independent-financial-adviser-ifa-_ai-regulatory-landscape-to-get-tougher-in-activity-7416705567953534976-oj8P

158. [PDF] BidSwitch Documentation https://protocol.bidswitch.com/_downloads/56cd8b0510dc8e179c98a1e264ff8363/BidSwitch_supplier_spec_1.0.pdf

159. GLOBALG.A.P. IFA v6 Standards Overview | PDF - Scribd https://www.scribd.com/document/944417120/Norma-Ifa-v-6-Global-Gap-1

160. [PDF] 2023 BOE SUSTAINABILITY REPORT 1 https://convergencemedia.boe.com.cn/pdf/NSTkhBQS8WqWt7uZ0BqYyK5KLDZv72/BOE2023-sustainability-report-en.pdf

161. [PDF] CEB/2019/1/Add.1 - Chief Executives Board for Coordination https://docs.un.org/en/CEB/2019/1/Add.1

162. [PDF] arXiv:2401.13266v1 [cs.AR] 24 Jan 2024 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.13266

163. [PDF] Quantum-Safe AI-Optimized Interchain Architecture Whitepaper https://quarxiv.authorea.com/doi/pdf/10.22541/au.176159649.92038902/v1

164. [PDF] D3.6 Final Natural User Interfaces - European Commission https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/documents/downloadPublic?documentIds=080166e505303bb1&appId=PPGMS

165. Fine-mapping of the Fusarium head blight resistance QTL Qfhs.ifa ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6588648/

166. An Insight on the Timely Diagnosis of Diabetic Retinopathy Using ... https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10820123/11053490.pdf

167. [PDF] LLMs in Software Engineering -- Insights and Perspectives - arXiv https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.13793

168. (PDF) AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance for Industry 4.0 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396037332_AI-Driven_Predictive_Maintenance_for_Industry_40_A_Systematic_Review_of_Models_Methods_and_Challenges

169. 计算机视觉与模式识别2026_2_24 http://www.arxivdaily.com/thread/76941

170. (PDF) Anomaly Detection for Industrial Applications, Its Challenges ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388231290_Anomaly_Detection_for_Industrial_Applications_Its_Challenges_Solutions_and_Future_Directions_A_Review

171. [PDF] PROCEEDINGS - Zenodo https://zenodo.org/records/15212563/files/PAHTEI-51.04-01.2025.pdf?download=1

172. [PDF] Intelligence Stratum for IoT. Architecture Requirements and Functions https://arxiv.org/pdf/1908.08921

173. [PDF] Environmental Sustainability Standards Guide - FAOLEX https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/egy215276E.pdf

174. Sustainability, Volume 17, Issue 20 (October-2 2025) – 391 articles https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/20

175. [PDF] Sustainability Integrated Report - Microsoft .NET https://ocpsiteprodsa.blob.core.windows.net/media/2024-07/OCP_Sustainbility_Report_2023.pdf

176. Building Sustainable Global AI Governance Frameworks https://fddi.fudan.edu.cn/fddien/23/37/c19505a729911/page.htm

177. Global AI Governance Overview: Understanding Regulatory ... - arXiv https://arxiv.org/html/2512.02046

178. What Is AI Governance and Who Decides the Rules? - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/404323225_What_Is_AI_Governance_and_Who_Decides_the_Rules

179. IG1483 AI For Growth v1.0.0 - TM Forum https://www.tmforum.org/resources/technical-specification/ig1483-ai-for-growth-v1-0-0/

180. AI Governance Alliance: Briefing Paper Series | 世界经济论坛 https://cn.weforum.org/publications/ai-governance-alliance-briefing-paper-series/

181. Chinese premier calls for early formation of global AI governance ... http://en.cidca.gov.cn/2025-07/26/c_1113518.htm

182. (PDF) A Unified Global Framework for Artificial Intelligence Regulation https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392771406_A_Unified_Global_Framework_for_Artificial_Intelligence_Regulation_Navigating_the_Path_to_Safe_AGI_and_ASI_Progress

183. Design of transparent and inclusive AI systems - AI Global Alliance https://initiatives.weforum.org/ai-global-alliance/home

184. [PDF] Research Report on Global AI Governance https://www.wicinternet.org/pdf/ResearchReportonGlobalAIGovernance.pdf